

Towards the description of anisotropic plasma at strong coupling

Romuald A. Janik and Przemysław Witaszczyk

Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University,

ul. Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

E-mail: ufrjanik@if.uj.edu.pl, bofh@th.if.uj.edu.pl

ABSTRACT: We initiate a study of anisotropic plasma at strong coupling using the AdS/CFT correspondence. We construct an exact dual geometry which represents a static uniform but anisotropic system and find, that although it is singular, it allows for a notion of ‘incoming’ boundary conditions. We study small fluctuations around this background and find that the dispersion relation depends crucially on the direction of the wave-vector relative to the shape of the anisotropy reminiscent of similar behaviour at weak coupling. We do not find explicit instabilities to the considered order but only a huge difference in the damping behaviour.

KEYWORDS: Gauge-gravity correspondence, AdS-CFT Correspondence.

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Plasma instabilities at weak coupling | 2 |
| 3. The AdS/CFT framework | 3 |
| 4. Geometry dual to a static anisotropic plasma system | 4 |
| 5. The issue of the singularity | 5 |
| 6. Boundary conditions | 5 |
| 7. R-charge fluctuation modes | 6 |
| 8. Discussion | 10 |
| A. Linearized equations for R-charge modes | 11 |

1. Introduction

One of the outstanding problems in our understanding of heavy-ion collisions is the question why quark-gluon plasma (QGP) can be described very accurately by hydrodynamics so soon after the collision [1]. The difficulty in addressing this question lies partly in a mixed weak/strong coupling physics of the initial state and early dynamics. Although some notable work has been done (see e.g. [2]), we are still far from a definite understanding.

Let us recall that hydrodynamics by definition involves the notions of (isotropic) pressure while just after the collision the energy-momentum tensor is definitely anisotropic. In a first approximation the question is thus to understand the process of isotropisation of an anisotropic plasma system.

One of the mechanisms which was suggested to be responsible for this behavior is the appearance of instabilities in an anisotropic plasma system first discovered in [3]. Since the study of an expanding (anisotropic) plasma system is quite complicated if not impossible, a simpler system has been investigated namely an anisotropic plasma system which fills the whole space and evolves in Minkowski time (and not proper time).

The instabilities at weak coupling have been investigated in detail [4, 5]. Subsequently the process of isotropisation has been studied in real time through numerical simulations [4, 6–8]. Initially, for *weak fields* the evolution is exponential, in accordance with the instabilities discovered in weak coupling computations around an anisotropic plasma background, then when nonlinear field effects become stronger, the evolution becomes linear in time.

The motivation of this paper is to address similar issues at strong coupling using the AdS/CFT correspondence [9] as a calculational tool. Our ultimate goal is to study the temporal evolution of the anisotropic plasma system and its approach to isotropy. However in the present paper we want to first investigate the situation when the anisotropic plasma is assumed to be static and to look for possible instabilities of small fluctuations in direct analogy to the weak coupling considerations. We plan to study the real-time isotropisation process in future work [10].

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we will briefly review some features of plasma instabilities at weak coupling. In section 3 we review the AdS/CFT framework used and in section 4 we construct the geometry dual to a static anisotropic plasma system. In the following two sections we comment on some of its pathologies and discuss the issue of defining physically natural boundary conditions. In section 7 we study the dispersion relation of R-charge fluctuation modes and we close the paper with a discussion and an appendix containing the relevant wave equations.

2. Plasma instabilities at weak coupling

In this section we will briefly describe some qualitative features of plasma instabilities studied at weak coupling. The majority of numerical and analytical work has been done for an infinite, spatially uniform system which does not expand. One basically starts from some initial conditions when momentum distributions are anisotropic. Typically one has a separation between hard and soft modes and studies how the hard modes lead to isotropisation of the soft modes but this is not strictly necessary [8]. Then one studies the time dependence of electric/magnetic fields and consequently the time evolution of the energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_L(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_T(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_T(t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\varepsilon = p_L + 2p_T$. Such studies have to involve numerical computations.

Alternatively, one can try to compute the poles of the gluon propagator in the anisotropic system with a momentum distribution

$$f(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{1 + \xi} f_{\text{iso}}(\vec{p}^2 + \xi p_L^2), \tag{2.2}$$

where f_{iso} is some isotropic distribution and ξ is the anisotropy parameter related to the ratio of transverse to longitudinal pressure through

$$\xi = \frac{p_T}{p_L} - 1. \tag{2.3}$$

The outcome is that some modes develop unstable behavior. The precise behavior crucially depends on the *sign* of the anisotropy. If $\xi > 0$, then all modes with transverse wave vectors remain stable, while the longitudinal ones develop an instability in a finite range of k_L .

If $\xi < 0$ the situation is reversed with the longitudinal modes remaining stable and the transverse modes developing an instability.

The unstable modes can be roughly identified with the initial behavior of the plasma in the time-dependent simulations outlined above. Thus the simpler computation of the modes gives an indication of the direction of the evolution of the system. Later when nonlinear effects become important, the evolution ceases to be exponential.

In the strong coupling regime one may attempt to study these questions in both ways. In this paper we will analyze what happens when we try to mimick the simpler approach, namely to consider an anisotropic system and look at small fluctuations. We plan to analyze the more realistic case of time dependence in the future.

3. The AdS/CFT framework

Within the AdS/CFT correspondence, a system of plasma is described by a dual geometry which is constructed as follows. Let us assume that the only nonvanishing expectation value in the system is a certain profile of the energy-momentum tensor:

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu) \rangle, \tag{3.1}$$

which has a specific dependence on the Minkowski coordinates x^μ . Then the dual geometry is written in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates as

$$ds^2 = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu, z)dx^\mu dx^\nu + dz^2}{z^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

The metric is determined by solving Einstein's equations with negative cosmological constant ($\Lambda = -6$) which can be written as

$$R_{\alpha\beta} + 4g_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

with the boundary condition at $z = 0$ given by

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu, z) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + z^4 g_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}(x^\mu) + \mathcal{O}(z^6), \tag{3.4}$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}(x^\mu)$ is related to the expectation value of the gauge theoretical energy-momentum tensor [11]:

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu) \rangle = \frac{N_c^2}{2\pi} g_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}(x^\mu). \tag{3.5}$$

The proposal put forward in [12] is to determine the geometry for a given energy-momentum profile and choose the physical one by requiring the nonsingularity of the resulting bulk geometry.

This framework is geared to study the time-dependent processes and thus can be used to study isotropisation in real time starting from the time-dependent energy-momentum tensor (2.1) by constructing the dual geometry and requiring nonsingularity. We plan to follow this route in future work [10].

Because the above procedure, although conceptually simple, is technically quite involved, we decided to analyze what are the fluctuations around a system where we neglect

the time dependence of the anisotropy of the plasma. This problem is a direct analog of the computation of the (unstable) poles of the gluon propagator in the unstable medium. Similarly as in the weak coupling case we do not expect such a static system to exist indefinitely. Certainly even if the system would be classically fine-tuned to stay in the unstable regime, quantum fluctuations would cause it to evolve. Therefore we expect the geometry to be somewhat pathological. The question that we wanted to ask is whether any kind of information may be extracted from it and see whether the pattern of fluctuation modes has some resemblance to the weak coupling situation.

4. Geometry dual to a static anisotropic plasma system

Let us now find the dual geometry corresponding to a uniform, static and anisotropic energy-momentum tensor:

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_T \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.1)$$

with $\varepsilon = p_L + 2p_T$. The most general metric with these symmetries has the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} (-a(z)dt^2 + b(z)dx_L^2 + c(z)dx_T^2 + dz^2). \quad (4.2)$$

The scalar functions $a(z)$, $b(z)$ and $c(z)$ have to vanish at $z = 0$, and their z^4 coefficients are related to the transverse and longitudinal pressure. The general solution of (3.3) satisfying these constraints reads

$$a(z) = (1 + A^2 z^4)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{36-2B^2}} (1 - A^2 z^4)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{36-2B^2}}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$b(z) = (1 + A^2 z^4)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{B}{3} + \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{36-2B^2}} (1 - A^2 z^4)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B}{3} - \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{36-2B^2}}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$c(z) = (1 + A^2 z^4)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B}{6} + \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{36-2B^2}} (1 - A^2 z^4)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{B}{6} - \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{36-2B^2}} \quad (4.5)$$

and the A and B parameters are related to the energy density and pressure through

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}A^2\sqrt{36 - B^2}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$p_L = \frac{1}{6}A^2\sqrt{36 - B^2} - \frac{2}{3}A^2B, \quad (4.7)$$

$$p_T = \frac{1}{6}A^2\sqrt{36 - B^2} + \frac{1}{3}A^2B. \quad (4.8)$$

It is also convenient to link the B parameter with the ξ anisotropy parameter defined through (2.3) to get

$$B = \frac{6\xi}{\sqrt{18\xi^2 + 48\xi + 36}}. \quad (4.9)$$

When there is no anisotropy, $B = 0$ and the above solution reduces to the standard static AdS black hole solution.

5. The issue of the singularity

An unavoidable property of the metric is, that once we have nonzero anisotropy, there is a singularity in the bulk, which is a very significant obstacle towards making a physical interpretation of our setup. This can be interpreted as the fact that a static anisotropic plasma system cannot exist at strong coupling. In fact such a statement is also true at weak coupling as discussed in section 2.

We would like to try to interpret our setup as a snapshot of the dynamical evolving scenario (close to the initial condition) and to investigate small fluctuations around such a system in order, eventually, to compare with a similar setup at weak coupling where the pattern of stable/unstable modes has a specific dependence on the sign of anisotropy and the relative orientation of the wave-vectors.

This might give a hint towards the real time-dependent evolution of the anisotropic system and what would be the differences in behavior with what is known at weak coupling before attempting a real dynamical computation at strong coupling.

Let us note, however, that because of the appearance of the singularity it is not clear what is the regime of validity of these results. The ‘snapshot’ interpretation could perhaps be validated by assuming very big ‘classical’ occupation numbers but we do not know how to estimate possible timescales appearing at strong coupling. We decided to proceed nevertheless with the computation of small fluctuations and to see *a-posteriori* whether the observed behavior is physically viable, or whether it is obviously pathological.

6. Boundary conditions

One of the major conceptual issues that one has to face when considering spacetimes with naked singularities is the problem of what boundary conditions to impose at the singularity. This problem has been considered in the general relativity literature [13, 14], and not surprisingly the problem of stability/instability of such spacetimes like the negative mass Schwarzschild black holes depends on the chosen boundary conditions.

In fact even for the ordinary Schwarzschild geometry if one would choose outgoing boundary conditions at the horizon we would get unstable modes. This issue of course never arises in the case of the black hole horizon, as there incoming boundary conditions are clearly singled out physically.

We will try to find an analog of the incoming boundary conditions for our geometry and use it for our computations. In fact the geometry is not as pathological as e.g. a negative mass black hole where a similar construction would not be possible. This feature of (4.2) is somewhat reassuring.

Let us consider the wave equation for a massless scalar field in the geometry (4.2). For simplicity we will set $A = 1$. Performing the standard separation of variables

$$\Phi = \phi(z)e^{-i\omega t + ik_1 x^1 + ik_3 x^3} \quad (6.1)$$

and a subsequent change of variables

$$x = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{arctanh} z^4 \quad (6.2)$$

we obtain the scalar equation in the form

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} + \frac{8}{(e^{16x} - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\omega^2 e^{2(6+\sqrt{36-2B^2})x} - k_L^2 e^{2(6+\frac{4B}{3}-\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{36-2B^2})x} + \right. \\ \left. - k_T^2 e^{2(6-\frac{2B}{3}-\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{36-2B^2})x} \right) \phi = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

where the singularity is at $x = \infty$. We see that close to the singularity the piece proportional to ω^2 dominates and the equation looks there as

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} + 8\omega^2 e^{-2(6-\sqrt{36-2B^2})x} \phi = 0. \tag{6.4}$$

For zero anisotropy $B = 0$ this has the familiar $e^{-i\sqrt{8}\omega x}$, $e^{+i\sqrt{8}\omega x}$ solutions which correspond to incoming and outgoing waves respectively. If we would consider the limit of very small B , we see that the solution behaves as for an ordinary horizon, with a clear separation of incoming and outgoing waves, which would only be modified very close to the singularity. In fact linearizing the equation in B will reduce the boundary conditions to the black hole ones. For general B , the solution of (6.4) is a combination of Hankel functions

$$H_0^{1,2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{8}}{C} \omega e^{-Cx} \right), \tag{6.5}$$

with $C = 6 - \sqrt{36 - 2B^2}$. As is well known Hankel functions form a convenient basis of incoming and outgoing wavefunctions in a cylindrical geometry. Hence in general we also have a clear definition of incoming and outgoing waves.

Since we do not want any information flow from the singularity into the bulk, we will always pick these ‘generalized’ incoming waves as our boundary condition at the singularity.

Let us note that the geometry (4.2) is rather special in that it allows for such a choice to exist at all. If we were to perform the same analysis, say for a negative mass (planar) AdS black hole we would have found that for nonzero wave-vectors these terms would dominate the ω^2 term and give solutions for which there would be no notion of an incoming/outgoing wave interpretation.

7. R-charge fluctuation modes

In view of the appearance of plasma instabilities at weak coupling we are interested mainly in studying low lying fluctuation modes of the geometry (4.2). Let us therefore briefly review the situation for isotropic plasma [15–17]. There all the modes exhibit damping (quasinormal modes). The smallest damping is associated with modes in the hydrodynamical regime. These involve modes of the graviton in the shear and sound channels which have the dispersion relations

$$\omega = -i \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon + p} k^2 + \dots \qquad \omega = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} k - i \frac{2}{3} \eta \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon + p} + \dots \tag{7.1}$$

respectively, as well as modes of the bulk gauge field associated with correlation functions of R-charge currents

$$\omega = -iD_R k^2 + \dots, \tag{7.2}$$

where $D_R = 1/2\pi T$ is the R-charge diffusion constant. All other modes have finite non-zero damping ($Im \omega < const < 0$) even for very long wavelength modes [17–19].

If we are to see an instability we have therefore to concentrate on the modes for which the (negative) imaginary part is smallest i.e. on the hydrodynamic modes. In the present paper we will study the bulk gauge fluctuations as these are technically the simplest. In the major part of the paper we will set $A = 1$ and reinstate it in the final results and formulas in the appendices. In these units the dispersion relation for R-charge diffusive modes (7.2) for *isotropic plasma* i.e. with $B = 0$ takes the form

$$\omega = -i \frac{k^2}{2\sqrt{2}} + \dots. \tag{7.3}$$

In this section we will study how this dispersion relation is modified by the presence of anisotropy.

The equation of motion for the bulk gauge field takes the form

$$\partial_\alpha \left(\sqrt{-g} F^{\alpha\beta} \right) = 0. \tag{7.4}$$

Due to the anisotropy of the plasma configuration and hence of the bulk geometry (4.2), one of the spatial coordinates is singled out (the longitudinal one), we will denote it by y , while the two transverse ones will be denoted by $x_{1,2}$. Similarly we will have a decomposition for wave vectors in the Fourier transform of the vector potential,

$$A_\mu(x) = \int \frac{d^3 k d\omega}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-i\omega t + i\vec{k}\vec{x}} A_\mu(z, k). \tag{7.5}$$

We will separately study the extreme situations when the wave vector is purely longitudinal or purely transverse:

$$L : k = (k_L, 0, 0), \quad T : q = (0, 0, k_T). \tag{7.6}$$

Let us recall that at weak coupling only one type of these modes develops an instability depending on the sign of the anisotropy parameter.

Furthermore, since we are dealing with vector fields there will be modes of the fields parallel and orthogonal to the wave vector. As a result we get two sets of coupled differential equations which can be further simplified by introducing gauge invariant variables, different in each set:

- Longitudinal modes:

$$(L-L) \quad E_y(k_L, z) = \omega A_y(k_L, z) + k_L A_t(k_L, z), \quad k_L \parallel E_y, \tag{7.7}$$

$$(L-T) \quad E_1(k_L, z) = \omega A_1(k_L, z), \quad E_2(k_L, z) = \omega A_2(k_L, z). \tag{7.8}$$

- Transverse modes:

$$(T-T) \quad E_1(k_T, z) = \omega A_1(k_T, z) + k_T A_t(k, z), \quad k_T \parallel E_1, \quad (7.9)$$

$$(T-L) \quad E_y(k_T, z) = \omega A_y(k_T, z), \quad E_2(k_T, z) = \omega A_2(k_T, z). \quad (7.10)$$

After that we are left with five quite lengthy equations. In the isotropic limit of the standard static black hole it is the electric modes which are parallel to the wave-vector which exhibit diffusive behavior (here (T-T) and (L-L)). The rest have $\mathcal{O}(1)$ damping even for small k .

In the present paper we will be mostly interested in effects appearing for small anisotropy, hence we will linearize the resulting equations in B . We quote all resulting equations in this regime in appendix A.

Here we will analyze the equations for (T-T) and (L-L) setting $A = 1$, reinstating A dependence in the final answer.

Close to the singularity $z = 1$, in the approximation linearized in B we find the following asymptotic behaviors which can be associated with incoming boundary conditions

$$E_y \sim (1-z)^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{B}{6}}, \quad E_1 \sim (1-z)^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{B}{12}}. \quad (7.11)$$

Let us now concentrate on the equation for E_y and make a decomposition

$$E_y(z) = (1-z)^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{B}{6}} g(u), \quad (7.12)$$

where we used the variable $u = z^2$. Furthermore, as we are interested in small frequencies and wave vectors we will rescale $\omega \rightarrow \varepsilon\omega$ and $k_L \rightarrow \varepsilon k_L$ and write

$$g(u) = 1 + \varepsilon g_0^a(u) + \varepsilon^2 g_0^b(u) + B(g_1^a(u) + \varepsilon g_1^b(u) + \dots) + \dots. \quad (7.13)$$

We then impose vanishing conditions at $u = 1$ for the $g_i^A(u)$'s which determines them completely. At this stage the mode has the correct incoming boundary condition at the horizon. Imposing Dirichlet conditions at the boundary gives the dispersion relation for the modes:

$$g(0) = 1 + g_0^a(0) + g_0^b(0) + B(g_1^a(0) + g_1^b(0)) = 0. \quad (7.14)$$

We find for the leading terms

$$g_0^a(0) = \frac{ik_L^2}{2\sqrt{2}\omega} - \frac{i \log 2}{2\sqrt{2}} \omega, \quad (7.15)$$

$$g_1^a(0) = -\frac{k_L^2}{6\omega^2} + \frac{\log 4}{12} \quad (7.16)$$

and for the subleading ones

$$g_0^b(0) = -\left(\frac{\pi^2}{96} + \frac{\log^2 2}{16}\right) \omega^2 + \frac{\log 2}{8} k_L^2, \quad (7.17)$$

$$g_1^b(0) = i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{288}(\pi^2 - 12 \log^2 2)\omega. \quad (7.18)$$

Let us analyze the condition (7.14). For zero B , we recover immediately (7.3) from (7.15). Once we turn on a small anisotropy, we find that for small k_L we cannot sustain the scaling $\omega \propto k^2$. Indeed then the first term in (7.16) will start to dominate and we get essentially

$$1 - \frac{k_L^2}{6\omega^2} B = 0. \tag{7.19}$$

Here we see that the outcome depends crucially on the *sign* of the anisotropy. If $B > 0$ then, although we get no instability, the damping vanishes to this order and one has

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{B}{6}} k_L + \dots \tag{7.20}$$

On the other hand if $B < 0$ we get very strong damping¹

$$\omega = -i \sqrt{\frac{-B}{6}} k_L + \dots \tag{7.21}$$

When we increase k_L the first term in (7.15)–(7.18) that will start to become important will be the first term in (7.15) when k_L becomes of order $\sqrt{|B|}$. At this stage we have to take into account both terms and we get

$$1 - \frac{k_L^2}{6\omega^2} B + \frac{ik_L^2}{2\sqrt{2}\omega} = 0. \tag{7.22}$$

This is a quadratic equation which can be solved to give (after inserting dependence on A which was previously set to 1)

$$\omega = -i \frac{k_L^2 + \sqrt{k_L^4 - \frac{16}{3} A^{\frac{1}{4}} B k_L^2}}{4\sqrt{2} A^{\frac{1}{8}}}. \tag{7.23}$$

We see that for $B < 0$, the sign of the square root has to be chosen as above in order to reproduce smoothly the $B \rightarrow 0$ limit. This justifies the choice of sign in (7.21). For positive B of course both signs are possible and there is a branch point on the real axis.

Let us note that the change in the qualitative behavior depending on the sign of the anisotropy is quite similar to the one seen at weak coupling. For $B > 0$, at weak coupling modes with longitudinal wave vectors develop an instability while for $B < 0$ they remain stable. Here the longitudinal ones develop a linear regime for $B > 0$ while for $B < 0$ they exhibit very strong damping.

For modes with nonzero transverse modes the situation is reversed. The equation that gives the dispersion relation is:

$$1 + \frac{k_T^2}{12\omega^2} B + \frac{ik_T^2}{2\sqrt{2}\omega} = 0, \tag{7.24}$$

¹The sign is chosen as to reduce to the isotropic case when taking more terms into account and performing $B \rightarrow 0$.

and the solution reads (after reinstating the dependence on A):

$$\omega = -i \frac{k_T^2 + \sqrt{k_T^4 + \frac{8}{3}BA^{\frac{1}{4}}k_T^2}}{4\sqrt{2}A^{\frac{1}{8}}}. \tag{7.25}$$

In this case the term with B differs by a numeric constant but, what is more important, also has a different sign. This means that the behaviour of the modes with transverse wave vectors has an opposite pattern of strong/weak damping relative to the *sign* of the anisotropy. This is qualitatively similar to the weak coupling behaviour reviewed in section 2.

One can also compute two-point functions using the standard procedure and find that the poles coincide with the dispersion relations found above.

8. Discussion

In the present paper we have studied the properties of a uniform infinite plasma system with anisotropic pressure. We have constructed the corresponding dual geometry and found that it is singular. This shows that such a system cannot be considered to exist indefinitely. The singularity is relatively mild in the sense that for a scalar wave equation in this background geometry there is a natural notion of ingoing boundary conditions in contrast to generic singular geometries. This feature allowed us to investigate small fluctuations and to look for possible instabilities and whether they would manifest themselves at the linear level in the supergravity description.

Similarly to the case of weak coupling, we find that the qualitative behaviour of longitudinal and transverse modes depends crucially on the sign of anisotropy. In contrast to weak coupling however, to leading order in the anisotropy parameter, we do not find instabilities but massless-like propagation (albeit with some quadratic damping) for modes with wave vectors for which one would expect instabilities at weak coupling, and very strong damping for modes which would remain stable at weak coupling. This qualitative similarity between the sign of the anisotropy and behaviour of the modes is reassuring in view of our doubts on using the singular geometry at all. Perhaps the lack of instabilities seen at the linearized level might be analogous to the lack of exponential growth for strong fields in the numerical simulations at weak coupling. In addition, Boltzmann-Vlasov simulations with large collision rate (corresponding to strong coupling) also showed the disappearance of instabilities [20].

One could extend the present investigation by going to higher orders in the anisotropy parameter but the most interesting question in our opinion is to determine the real-time evolution of the anisotropic system at strong coupling. This dynamical problem, although technically much harder, would not be plagued by conceptual issues dealing with singularities. It would be very interesting to confront the outcome with the linearized results of the present paper. We plan to investigate the real-time evolution in future work [10].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank P. Bizon and A. Rostworowski for discussions on quasi-normal modes. RJ would like to thank J. Berges, S. Mrowczynski, M. Strickland, L. Yaffe for

illuminating discussions during the KITP program ‘Nonequilibrium Dynamics in Particle Physics and Cosmology’ and acknowledge support by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY05-51164. This work was supported in part by the Polish Ministry of Science and Information Technologies grant 1P03B04029 (2005-2008), RTN network ENRAGE MRTN-CT-2004-005616, and the Marie Curie ToK COCOS (contract MTKD-CT-2004-517186).

A. Linearized equations for R-charge modes

In the following equations we neglected *quadratic* terms in B .

Longitudinal wave-vectors.

$$E_1'' - \frac{3 + 4\sqrt{A}(3+B)z^4 + 9Az^8}{3z(1-Az^8)} E_1' + \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\omega^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})}{(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^2} - k_L^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{-\frac{B}{3}}(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{-1 + \frac{B}{3}} \right) E_1 = 0, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$E_y'' - \frac{3k_L^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{\frac{B}{3}}(1 - 3\sqrt{Az^4}(4 - \sqrt{Az^4}))}{3z(1 - Az^8)(k_L^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{\frac{B}{3}} - \omega^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{\frac{B}{3}}(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^2)} + \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$+ \frac{\omega^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{\frac{B}{3}}(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^2(3 + \sqrt{Az^4}(12 - 8B + 9\sqrt{Az^4}))}{3z(1 - Az^8)(k_L^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{\frac{B}{3}} - \omega^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{\frac{B}{3}}(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^2)} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\omega^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})}{(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^2} - k_L^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{-\frac{B}{3}}(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{-1 + \frac{B}{3}} \right) E_y = 0 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

(equation for E_2 is exactly the same as for the E_2).

Transverse wave-vectors.

$$E_2'' - \frac{3 + 4\sqrt{A}(3+B)z^4 + 9Az^8}{3z(1 - Az^8)} E_2' \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\omega^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})}{(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^2} - k_T^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{-\frac{B}{6}}(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{-1 - \frac{B}{6}} \right) E_2 = 0, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$E_y'' - \frac{3 + \sqrt{Az^4}(12 - 8B + 9\sqrt{Az^4})}{3z(1 - Az^8)} E_y' \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\omega^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})}{(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^2} - k_T^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{-\frac{B}{6}}(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{-1 - \frac{B}{6}} \right) E_y = 0, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$E_1'' + \left(\frac{-3k_T^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{2 + \frac{B}{6}}(1 - 3\sqrt{Az^4}(4 - \sqrt{Az^4}))}{3z(1 - Az^8)(k_T^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{2 + \frac{B}{6}} - \omega^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{2 + \frac{B}{6}})} + \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$+ \frac{\omega^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{2 + \frac{B}{6}}(3 + 4\sqrt{A}(3+B)z^4 + 9Az^8)}{3z(1 - Az^8)(k_T^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{2 + \frac{B}{6}} - \omega^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{2 + \frac{B}{6}})} \right) E_1' \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\omega^2(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})}{(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^2} - k_T^2(1 - \sqrt{Az^4})^{-\frac{B}{6}}(1 + \sqrt{Az^4})^{-1 - \frac{B}{6}} \right) E_1 = 0. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

References

- [1] P. Huovinen and P.V. Ruuskanen, *Hydrodynamic models for heavy ion collisions*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **56** (2006) 163 [[nucl-th/0605008](#)]; P.F. Kolb and U.W. Heinz, *Hydrodynamic description of ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions*, [nucl-th/0305084](#).
- [2] R. Baier, A.H. Mueller, D. Schiff and D.T. Son, *'Bottom-up' thermalization in heavy ion collisions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 502** (2001) 51 [[hep-ph/0009237](#)].
- [3] S. Mrowczynski, *Plasma instability at the initial stage of ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 314** (1993) 118.
- [4] P. Arnold, J. Lenaghan, G.D. Moore and L.G. Yaffe, *Apparent thermalization due to plasma instabilities in quark gluon plasma*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005) 072302 [[nucl-th/0409068](#)]; P. Arnold, G.D. Moore and L.G. Yaffe, *The fate of non-abelian plasma instabilities in 3 + 1 dimensions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 72** (2005) 054003 [[hep-ph/0505212](#)].
- [5] P. Romatschke and M. Strickland, *Collective modes of an anisotropic quark gluon plasma*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 68** (2003) 036004 [[hep-ph/0304092](#)].
- [6] A. Rebhan, P. Romatschke and M. Strickland, *Dynamics of quark-gluon plasma instabilities in discretized hard-loop approximation*, *JHEP* **09** (2005) 041 [[hep-ph/0505261](#)].
- [7] D. Bödeker and K. Rummukainen, *Non-abelian plasma instabilities for strong anisotropy*, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 022 [[arXiv:0705.0180](#)].
- [8] J. Berges, S. Scheffler and D. Sexty, *Bottom-up isotropization in classical-statistical lattice gauge theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 77** (2008) 034504 [[arXiv:0712.3514](#)].
- [9] J.M. Maldacena, *The large- N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [*Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38** (1999) 1113] [[hep-th/9711200](#)]; S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](#)]; E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [10] R.A. Janik and P. Witaszczyk, work in progress.
- [11] S. de Haro, S.N. Solodukhin and K. Skenderis, *Holographic reconstruction of spacetime and renormalization in the AdS/CFT correspondence*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 595 [[hep-th/0002230](#)]; K. Skenderis, *Lecture notes on holographic renormalization*, *Class. and Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 5849 [[hep-th/0209067](#)].
- [12] R.A. Janik and R.B. Peschanski, *Asymptotic perfect fluid dynamics as a consequence of AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 73** (2006) 045013 [[hep-th/0512162](#)].
- [13] G.W. Gibbons, S.A. Hartnoll and A. Ishibashi, *On the stability of naked singularities*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **113** (2005) 963 [[hep-th/0409307](#)].
- [14] R.J. Gleiser and G. Dotti, *Instability of the negative mass Schwarzschild naked singularity*, *Class. and Quant. Grav.* **23** (2006) 5063 [[gr-qc/0604021](#)]; V. Cardoso and M. Cavaglia, *Stability of naked singularities and algebraically special modes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 74** (2006) 024027 [[gr-qc/0604101](#)].
- [15] G. Policastro, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, *The shear viscosity of strongly coupled $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001) 081601 [[hep-th/0104066](#)].

- [16] G. Policastro, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, *From AdS/CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics*, *JHEP* **09** (2002) 043 [[hep-th/0205052](#)].
- [17] P.K. Kovtun and A.O. Starinets, *Quasinormal modes and holography*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 086009 [[hep-th/0506184](#)].
- [18] G.T. Horowitz and V.E. Hubeny, *Quasinormal modes of AdS black holes and the approach to thermal equilibrium*, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 024027 [[hep-th/9909056](#)].
- [19] A.O. Starinets, *Quasinormal modes of near extremal black branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 124013 [[hep-th/0207133](#)].
- [20] B. Schenke, M. Strickland, C. Greiner and M.H. Thoma, *A model of the effect of collisions on QCD plasma instabilities*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 125004 [[hep-ph/0603029](#)].